

KPMG (Registered)
Building No.10, 8th Floor, Tower-C
DLF Cyber City, Phase - II
Gurugram - 122 002 (India)

Telephone: + 91 124 307 4000 Fax: + 91 124 254 9101 Internet: www.kpmg.com/in

Independent Assurance Statement

To the management of Greenko Investment Company, SGG Corporate Services (Mauritius) Ltd (Formerly known as CIM Corporate Services Ltd), Les Cascades Building, Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, Mauritius.

Introduction

We were engaged by Greenko Investment Company ('Issuer') to undertake an independent review of the green bonds for USD 500 million issued on 10 August 2016. Our responsibility was to provide "limited assurance" on conformance of the Issuer and their green bonds with the Green Bond Principles, 2017.

Assurance Standards

We conducted our engagement in accordance with requirements of 'Limited Assurance' as per International Federation of Accountants' (IFAC) International Standard on Assurance Engagements [ISAE 3000 (Revised), Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information].

Assurance scope and level of assurance

Our scope of assurance included information on material aspects of the green bond based on the requirements of the Green Bond Principles, 2017 as listed below:

- Use of Proceeds
- Process for Project Evaluation and Selection
- Management of Proceeds
- Reporting

Specific limitations and exclusions

Our assurance process was subject to the following limitations as we have not been engaged to:

- · Determine which, if any, recommendations should be implemented
- Provide assurance on information outside the defined reporting boundary and period
- Verify the Issuer's financial statements & economic performance
- Verify the Issuer's statements that describe expression of opinion, belief, aspiration, expectation, aim or future intention and national or global socio-economic and environmental aspects provided by the Issuer

Work undertaken

We planned and performed our work to obtain all the evidence, information and explanations that we considered necessary to obtain a meaningful level of assurance in relation to the above scope. The procedures we performed, which are set out in more detail below, were based on our professional judgment and included, as appropriate, inquiries, observation of processes performed, inspection of documents, analytical procedures, evaluating the appropriateness of quantification methods and



reporting policies and agreement or reconciliation with underlying records. We believe that the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained are sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our limited assurance conclusion.

To reach our conclusion we:

- Read sections of the Offering Memorandum that also support the objects of the green bond issue and investment areas for proceeds;
- Conducted interviews with management and key staff responsible for the green bond to understand how the processes, systems and controls defined in the Offering Memorandum have been implemented by the Issuer;
- Checked the list of projects to which bond proceeds have been allocated and their conformance with the criteria defined in the Green Bond Principles;
- Checked the environmental clearances and permits in place for the list of projects to which the bond proceeds have been allocated;
- Confirmed the amount of bond proceeds allocated to projects through examination of a sample set
 of statements of accounts; and
- Read limited sections of the Issuer's Annual Report for the financial year related to green bonds that include information on Issuer's use of proceeds, process for evaluation and selection of eligible projects, management of proceeds and reporting

Conclusions

The following conclusion is based on the work performed and evidence obtained and the scope of our assurance engagement described above.

Nothing has come to our attention to suggest that the Issuer and their green bonds issued in August 2016 have not, in all material respects, allocated bond proceeds in accordance with the Green Bond Principles, 2017 and complied with its requirements.

List of projects for which the bond proceeds were utilized along with the respective utilized amount is presented in Annexure 1.

Independence

The assurance was conducted by a multidisciplinary team including professionals with suitable skills and experience in auditing environmental, social and economic information in line with the requirements of ISAE 3000 standard. Our work was performed in compliance with the requirements of the IFAC Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, which requires, among other requirements, that the members of the assurance team (practitioners) as well as the assurance firm (assurance provider) be independent of the assurance client, in relation to the scope of this assurance engagement. The Code also includes detailed requirements for practitioners regarding integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour. We have systems and processes in place to monitor compliance with the Code and to prevent conflicts regarding independence. We apply ISQC 1 and comply with the applicable independence and other ethical requirements of the IESBA code.

Management's responsibility

The Management of the Issuer is responsible for ensuring that the Issuer and their green bond complies with the requirements of the Green Bond Principles, 2017. This responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining systems and processes relevant for the management of green bond proceeds.



The Management of the Issuer is also responsible for preventing and detecting fraud and for identifying and ensuring that Issuer complies with laws and regulations applicable to its activities.

Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to report in the form of an independent limited assurance conclusion in relation to the above scope based on the procedures performed and the evidence obtained. We conducted our engagement with a multidisciplinary team which included professionals with suitable skills and experience in auditing environmental, social and economic information in line with the requirements of ISAE 3000 standard.

This assurance report is made solely to Issuer in accordance with the terms of our engagement, which include agreed arrangements for disclosure. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to Issuer those matters we have been engaged to state in this assurance report and for no other purpose. Our assurance report should not be regarded as suitable to be used or relied on by any party wishing to acquire rights against us other than Issuer for any purpose or in any context. Any party other than Issuer who obtains access to our assurance report or a copy thereof and chooses to rely on our assurance report (or any part thereof) will do so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept or assume no responsibility and deny any liability to any party other than Issuer for our work, for this independent limited assurance report, or for the conclusions we have reached.

Manpreet Singh

Director KPMG India

15 December 2017



Annexure 1

List of projects for which bond proceeds were utilized

				Amount utilized from bond proceeds	n bond proceeds
Issuer	(in MW)	Project Type	State	In INR (Million)	In USD (Million)
Anantpura Wind Energies Private Limited	10	Wind Energy	Andhra Pradesh	540.0	1.8
Greenko Bagewadi Wind Energies Private Limited	34	Wind Energy	Karnataka	2,798.0	41.8
Rayalaseema Wind Energy Company Private Limited	10	Wind Energy	Andhra Pradesh	531.0	7.9
Sneha Kinetic Power Projects Private Limited	96	Hydro Energy	Sikkim	9,548.0	142.5
Swasti Power Private Limited	22.5	Hydro Energy	Uttarakhand	1,200.0	17.9
Tanot Wind Power Ventures Private Limited	120	Wind Energy	Rajasthan	9,429.0	140.8
Perla Hydro Power Private Limited	10	Hydro Energy	Karnataka	479.0	7.0
Vyshali Energy Private Limited	100	Wind Energy	Karnataka	7,479.0	111.5
				32,004.0	477.5